

Fed.R.Civ.P.72(b). The district court may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition, as well as receive further evidence or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

As the R&R explains, pre-trial detainees seeking relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 must first exhaust state court remedies before seeking federal intervention and the petitioner carries the burden of proving exhaustion of all available state court remedies.¹ In his objections, petitioner reiterates the same arguments he made in his petition and amended petitions seeking habeas relief. He does not address and, thus, did not meet his burden of proving that he has exhausted all available state court remedies.

The court reviewed the matter and concludes that the R&R correctly analyzes the issue and makes a sound recommendation. Accordingly, after *de novo* review of the pleadings and documents in the case, together with the R&R and objections thereto, the R&R will be adopted and the petition and amended petitions will be dismissed without prejudice for failure to exhaust state remedies.

Jurists of reason would not find it debatable whether each of Hoye's claims should be denied. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Accordingly, a certificate of appealability will be denied as to each of Hoye's claims. The denial of a certificate of appealability does not prevent Hoye from appealing the order denying his petition so long as he seeks, and obtains, a certificate of appealability, from the court of appeals. *See* Fed.R.App.P. 22(b)(1), (2)

¹ The docket sheet for petitioner's criminal case, CP-02-CR-0004077-2087, is available online and this court takes judicial notice of it. That docket reflects that a non-jury trial is scheduled for February 12, 2019, before Judge Alexander P. Bicket. *See* <https://ujportal.pacourts.us/DocketSheets/CP.aspx>. (last viewed 1/22/2019).

January 25, 2019

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joy Flowers Conti
Joy Flowers Conti
United States District Judge